

Solid State Communications 110 (1999) 45-49

solid state communications

Hund's rule for monopole harmonics, or why the composite fermion picture works

Arkadiusz Wójs*, John J. Quinn

The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

Received 16 December 1998; accepted 21 December 1998 by A. Pinczuk

Abstract

The success of the mean field composite Fermion (MFCF) picture in predicting the lowest energy band of angular momentum multiplets in fractional quantum Hall systems cannot be found in a cancellation between the Coulomb and Chern–Simons interactions beyond the mean field, due to their totally different energy scales. We show that the MFCF approximation can be regarded as a kind of semi-empirical Hund's rule for monopole harmonics. The plausibility of the rule is easily established, but rigorous proof relies on comparison with detailed numerical calculations. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: A. Low-dimensional structures; D. Composite fermions; D. Fractional quantum Hall effect

It is well known that the mean field composite Fermion (MFCF) picture [1] correctly predicts the low lying band of angular momentum multiplets of a fractional quantum Hall (FQH) system by simply noting that when N electrons are converted to Ncomposite Fermions (CF's), the angular momentum of the lowest shell goes from l_0 $l_0^* = l_0 - p_0(N-1)$, where p_0 is an integer. A very fundamental question, which is not understood, is "Why does the MFCF picture work so well in describing not just the Jain sequence of incompressible ground states, but also of the low lying band of multiplets for any value of the filling factor ν ?" The answer cannot lie in the cancellation between the Coulomb and Chern-Simons interactions among the fluctuations because these interactions are associated with different energy scales. In this note we demonstrate that the predictions of the MFCF picture can be

thought of as a Hund's rule governing monopole harmonics, which selects a low lying angular momentum subset of the allowed L multiplets associated with low values of the Coulomb repulsion. The plausibility of the rule is established by proving that: (i) the pseudopotential describing the Coulomb repulsion for monopole harmonics decreases rapidly as the pair angular momentum L_{12} decreases from its maximum value $L_{12}^{\text{MAX}} = 2l_0 - 1$; (ii) multiplets with lower values of the total angular momentum L have, on the average, lower values of $\langle \hat{L}_{ij}^2 \rangle$, the expectation value of the pair angular momentum $\hat{L}_{ii} = \hat{l}_i + \hat{l}_i$; (iii) low angular momentum values L for which many independent multiplets occur are more likely to have some low lying multiplets than neighboring L values with few multiplets; and (iv) relatively higher multiplicities tend to reoccur at the same L values for different values of l_0 separated by an integral multiple of N - 1.

For N electrons on a Haldane sphere [2–5] (containing at the center a magnetic monopole of

^{*} On leave from the Institute of Physics, Wrocław University of Technology, Poland.

^{0038-1098/99/\$ -} see front matter @ 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0038-1098(99)00004-6

charge 2S hc/e), the single particle states fall into angular momentum shells with $l_n = S + n$, n =0, 1, ... The CF transformation attaches to each electron a flux tube of strength $2p_0$ flux quanta oriented opposite to the original magnetic field. If the added flux is treated in a mean field approximation, the resulting effective magnetic field is $B^* = B - B^*$ $2p_0(hc/e)n_s$ (n_s is the number of electrons per unit area). An effective CF filling factor. $\nu_0^{*-1} = \nu_0^{-1} - 2p_0$, and an effective monopole strength seen by one CF, $2S^* = 2S - 2p_0(N-1)$, can also be defined. $|S^*|$ plays the role of the angular momentum of the lowest CF shell [6]. States belonging to the Jain sequence occur when v_0^* is an integer. For such integral CF fillings, the ground state is a Laughlin [7] incompressible liquid state with angular momentum L = 0. If ν_0^* is not an integer, a partially occupied CF shell will contain n_{OP} quasiparticles (QP's). In the MFCF picture these states form a degenerate band of angular momentum multiplets with energy $n_{\rm OP}\varepsilon_{\rm OP}$, where $\varepsilon_{\rm OP}$ is the energy of a single QP. The degeneracy results from the neglect of QP-QP interactions in the MFCF approximation [8].

The single particle states for an electron on a Haldane sphere are called monopole harmonics [9,10] and denoted by $|l_n, m\rangle$, where $-l_n \le m \le l_n$. The single particle energies depend only on S and n, and for the FQH effect, only the lowest shell with n = 0, which is completely spin polarized, need be considered. The object of numerical studies is to diagonalize the electron-electron interaction within the subspace of the $(\frac{2S+1}{N})$ many particle states of the lowest shell. The numerical calculations become difficult when the number of electrons N exceeds 10 and $2S \ge 30$. The calculations give the eigenvalues E as a function of the total angular momentum L, and the numerical results always show one or more L multiplets forming a low energy sector (or low energy band).

The problem of N fermions in a shell of angular momentum l is very familiar from atomic physics [11]. In this note we investigate the analogy between the problems of N electrons in the lowest angular momentum shell of a Haldane sphere and N electrons in an atomic shell of the same angular momentum. *First*, because 2S is an integer, the monopole



Fig. 1. The pseudopotential for the pair of electrons of total angular momentum L_{12} as a function of l = S + n. Energy is measured in units of e^2/R , where *R* is the radius of the sphere. (a) monopole harmonics, n = 0; (b) spherical harmonics, S = 0, calculated for a radial wave function which localizes the electrons at radius *R*. A plot similar to (a) $[V(L_{12})$ vs. $L_{12}^{MAX} - L_{12}]$ for values of 2*S* up to 25 is given in [13].

harmonics can have integral or half-integral orbital angular momentum. The spherical harmonics have S = 0, so l must be an integer. For FQH systems (i.e. $\nu < 1$) we are interested in the lowest angular momentum shell with $l_0 = S$. Second, for FQH systems, calculations with N values greater than 10 and l values greater than 15 have been performed [12], while in atomic system l values up to 3 (f-states) and N values up to 7 are usually the maximum values studied. Third, the Zeeman splitting is large compared to the Coulomb interaction, so only totally spin polarized states of FQH systems need be considered. The total spin is always equal to $\frac{1}{2}N$, and the total (spin plus orbital) angular momentum is simply the sum of L and $\frac{1}{2}N$. Thus, only the second Hund's rule is of interest; it states that the largest allowable value of L (consistent with maximum possible spin) will be the ground state. This is certainly not the case for FQH systems. Many Laughlin incompressible states at L =0 are ground states, and states containing 1, 2, 3,

...QP's always have allowed L values that are much smaller than $L_{\text{MAX}} = \frac{1}{2}N(2l - N + 1)$. What causes this difference?

In Fig. 1 we display the Coulomb pseudopotential for a pair of electrons in single particle angular momentum states with l = 1 through 5, as a function of the pair angular momentum $L_{12} = l_1 + l_2$. For monopole harmonics [2–5] (l = S, n = 0), $V(L_{12})$ increases with increasing L_{12} . For atomic shells (spherical harmonics) just the opposite occurs – the repulsion decreases with increasing L_{12} (for the *h*shell and higher, $V(L_{12})$ begins to increase beyond some relatively large value of L_{12} , but this is never of concern in atomic physics). The function $V(L_{12})$ is obtained by diagonalizing the Coulomb interaction within the space of antisymmetric pair wave functions. The different behavior of monopole harmonics is due to the Lorentz force caused by the electron– electron repulsion in the presence of the uniform magnetic field. A pair of electrons which are close together have large total angular momentum and large repulsion.

It is useful to write an antisymmetric wave function $|l^N, L\alpha\rangle$ for *N* electrons each with angular momentum *l* that are combined to give a total angular momentum *L* as [11]

$$\left| l^{N}, L\alpha \right\rangle = \sum_{L_{12}} \sum_{L'\alpha'} G_{L\alpha, L'\alpha'}(L_{12}) \left| l^{2}, L_{12}; l^{N-2}, L'\alpha'; L \right\rangle.$$
(1)

Here $G_{L\alpha,L'\alpha'}(L_{12})$ is called the coefficient of fractional grandparentage. In Eq. (1), $|l^2, L_{12}; l^{N-2}, L'\alpha'; L\rangle$ is a state of angular momentum *L*. It is antisymmetric under permutation of particles 1 and 2, which have pair angular momentum L_{12} , and under permutation of particles 3, 4, ..., *N*, which have angular momentum L'. The label α (or α') distinguishes independent orthogonal states with the same angular momentum *L* (or L').

A very useful operator identity

$$\hat{L}^2 + N(N-2) \ \hat{l}^2 = \sum_{\text{pairs}} \hat{L}_{ij}^2$$
 (2)

is straightforward to prove. Here $\hat{L} = \sum_{i} \hat{l}_{i}$ and $\hat{L}_{ij} = \hat{l}_{i} + \hat{l}_{j}$. Taking the expectation value of Eq. (2) in the state $|l^{N}, L\alpha\rangle$ gives

$$\left\langle l^{N}, L\alpha \Big| \sum_{\text{pairs}} \hat{L}_{ij}^{2} \Big| l^{N}, L\alpha \right\rangle = L(L+1) + N(N-2) \, l(l+1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} N(N-1) \sum_{L_{12}} \mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}) \, L_{12}(L_{12}+1). \tag{3}$$

In this equation $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}) = \sum_{L'\alpha'} |G_{L\alpha,L'\alpha'}(L_{12})|^2$.

From the orthonormality of the functions $|l^N, L\alpha\rangle$ it

is apparent that $\sum_{L_{12}} \mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}) = 1$, and

$$\sum_{L_{12}} \sum_{L'\alpha'} G^*_{L\alpha,L'\alpha'}(L_{12}) \ G_{L\beta,L'\alpha'}(L_{12}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$
 (4)

The energy of the state $|l^N, L\alpha\rangle$ is given by

$$E_{\alpha}(L) = \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)\sum_{L_{12}}\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}) V(L_{12}).$$
(5)

It is noteworthy that the expectation value of $\sum_{\text{pairs}} \hat{L}_{ij}^2$ is independent of which multiplet α is being considered. In view of Eqs. (3), (5), it is not surprising that in atomic physics, where $V(L_{12})$ decreases rapidly with L_{12} , Hund's second rule holds. For states with $L = L_{\text{MAX}}$ only a single multiplet ever appears, and it has the highest value of the average pair angular momentum. Despite this strong indication that, in atomic systems, the state with the largest allowed value of L has the lowest energy, Hund's rule is considered an empirical rule, that can be rigorously justified only by numerical calculations.

For the case of monopole harmonics, $V(L_{12})$ decreases very rapidly as L_{12} decreases from its maximum value $L_{12}^{MAX} = 2l_0 - 1$. Therefore, low energy multiplets must somehow be able to avoid having large grandparentage in states with large values of L_{12} . In a previous paper we have demonstrated analytically that this is true for three electron systems [13]. For the monopole harmonics the general trend is to have $N_L^{-1} \sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}(L)$, the average E(L) for all multiplets with angular momentum L, increase with increasing L. However, when the single particle angular momentum, l, increases beyond some value for an N particle system, several multiplets of the same Lbegin to appear. In Table 1 we present as an example, the number of independent multiplets of angular momentum L as a function of 2S for a system of eight electrons. The values of 2S go from zero to twenty two; the values of L are shown up to eight. If the pseudopotential were given by $\tilde{V}(L_{12}) = A + BL_{12}(L_{12} + 1)$, all of the different multiplets with the same value of L would be degenerate because of Eqs. (3), (4), (5), and L_{MIN} , the smallest allowed L multiplet, would be the ground state. The difference between $\tilde{V}(L_{12})$ and the actual pseudopotential $V(L_{12})$ leads to a lifting of this degeneracy (different multiplets repel one another). The splittings caused by $V(L_{12}) - \tilde{V}(L_{12})$ can become large when

Table 1 The number of independent multiplets at angular momentum *L* for eight electrons as a function of 2*S* for $0 \le 2S \le 22$. Only *L* values up to 8 are included in the table

L_{2S}	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0			1						
1	1		1		1				
2	1								
3	1		1		1				
4	1		1	1	1		1		
5	1		1		1		1		
6					1				
7	1								
8					1				
9	1		1		1		1		1
10	1		1	1	2	1	2	1	1
11	2		3	1	4	2	4	2	4
12	2	1	4	3	6	5	7	5	7
13	4	1	7	5	11	7	13	9	13
14	4	3	10	9	16	14	19	17	21
15	7	4	16	13	25	21	31	26	35
16	8	8	21	22	35	33	45	42	51
17	12	10	32	30	51	48	66	61	77
18	13	17	42	45	69	70	91	90	108
19	20	22	58	61	96	95	128	124	152
20	22	33	75	85	126	133	169	173	205
21	31	42	101	111	168	175	227	230	277
22	36	59	126	150	215	233	294	307	360

 N_L , the number of times the multiplet *L* occurs, is large. In this case, a state with *L* larger than L_{MIN} can become the ground state since the actual values of $E_{\alpha}(L)$ depend on how the values of $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12})$ are distributed, not just on the average value of \hat{L}_{12}^2 for that value of *L*. For example, the lowest energy multiplet with L = 4 at 2S = 20 is lower in energy than the multiplets at L = 0, 1, 2, and 3. The same is true of the lowest energy multiplet with L = 4 at 2S = 22. Knowing which multiplet is the ground state or which multiplets form the "low energy sector" without performing detailed numerical calculations is a considerably more difficult task than it was for spherical harmonics. It is very likely however, that the highest *L* value corresponds to the highest energy.

As might be expected, when the angular momentum l_0 of the lowest electron shell is replaced by $l_0^* = l - p_0(N - 1)$, the possible values of the resulting total angular momentum L^* are less than or equal to a value L_{MAX}^* , that is always small compared to



Fig. 2. Energy spectrum (a) and $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12})$ (b) as a function of *L* for a system of six electrons at 2S = 15 (Laughlin $\nu = 1/3$ state).

 L_{MAX} . For example, if $2S \ge 3N - 3$, $L_{\text{MAX}}^* = \frac{1}{2}N(2S - 3N - 3)$; if $3N - 3 \ge 2S \ge \frac{5}{2}N - 4$, $L_{\text{MAX}}^* = (2S - \frac{5}{2}N + 4)(3N - 3 - 2S)$; etc. At filling factors corresponding to states in the Jain sequence $L_{MAX}^* = 0$. For states containing one or more QP's, a number of different L^* values less than or equal to L^*_{MAX} can occur. From the numerical calculations it has been observed [1-5] that the subset of allowed L^* multiplets obtained by placing N CF's into the lowest angular momentum shells forms the low energy sector of the spectrum of the original electron system. This is plausible because: (i) the allowed values of L^* are always small compared to the original L_{MAX} and therefore have a small expectation value of the pair angular momentum \hat{L}_{ii} , and (ii) the low values of L which occur a relatively large number of times tend to form the low energy band of values L^* . For the eight electron system with a given value of 2S, the allowed L^* values are those appearing in the row with $2S^* = 2S - 14$. The table of multiplicities depends only on |2S|, so if 2S - 14 is negative, it is simply replaced by its magnitude. Because of this, the $\nu =$ 2/3 state occurs at 2S = 12, and the $\nu = 2/5$ state occurs at 2S = 16.

We have evaluated $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12})$ for values of $N \leq 8$ and for many different values of 2S. In Fig. 2 we compare the energy spectrum (a) for a six electron system at 2S = 15 (the Laughlin $\nu = 1/3$ state) with the coefficient (b) $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}^{MAX})$ (where $L_{12}^{MAX} = 14$), the coefficient associated with the maximum Coulomb repulsion. The similarity of the two figures makes it clear that a model pseudopotential with only $V(L_{12}^{MAX})$



Fig. 3. $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12})$ vs. L_{12} of the lowest energy multiplets at L = 0, 2, 3, 4, and 6 for N = 6 and 2S = 11 (corresponding to the $\nu = 2/5$ state). Note that $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}^{\text{MAX}})$ is smaller for L = 0 than for any of the other states.

non-vanishing reproduces the main features of the low energy spectrum.

In Fig. 3 we plot $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12})$ vs. L_{12} for a six electron system with 2S = 11 for the lowest multiplets having L = 0, 2, 3, 4, and 6. The L = 0 state is the Jain incompressible ground state at $\nu = 2/5$. The other states contain a single QP pair. Notice that $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}^{MAX})$ is smaller for the L = 0 ground state than it is for the neighboring states. Because $V(L_{12}^{MAX})$ is so large, this coefficient dominates in the determination of the energy.

Two additional points are worth emphasizing [13]. First, the CF hierarchy containing all odd denominator fractions [14] is obtained by reapplying the MFCF transformation to residual QP's in a partially filled CF shell. However, in order for the MFCF approach to be valid, the QP-QP interaction has to be similar to the Coulomb pseudopotential, falling rapidly from its maximum value $V(L_{12}^{MAX})$ with decreasing L_{12} . This is not true [14] for all QP-QP interactions, suggesting why the states of the Jain sequence are the most stable incompressible liquid ground states. Second, states containing a single quasihole (e.g. the lowest energy state for 2S = 3(N-1) + 1 have $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}^{MAX}) = 0$ just as the neighboring Laughlin state (at 2S = 3(N - 1)) does. However, the single quasielectron state (at 2S = 3(N - 1) - 1) cannot have

 $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12}^{\text{MAX}}) = 0$. Because $V(L_{12}^{\text{MAX}})$ is so large, ε_{QE} is much larger than ε_{QH} .

We have demonstrated that the MFCF picture selects a low angular momentum subset of the allowed set of L multiplets for N electrons on a Haldane sphere. We make the hypothesis that this set of low angular momentum multiplets forms the low energy sector of the spectrum, and offer arguments that support this hypothesis. These arguments make our hypothesis plausible, but (as with Hund's rule for atomic spectra) the proof lies in comparison with detailed calculations. For every case we have studied $(N \le 8 \text{ and many different values of } 2S)$, the probability $\mathscr{G}_{L\alpha}(L_{12})$ for the large repulsive part of the Coulomb interaction is found to be smaller for the L values predicted by the MFCF picture than for neighboring states, verifying that the MFCF picture acts as a Hund's rule for monopole harmonics.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Division of Materials Sciences – Basic Energy Research Program of the US Department of Energy, and thank D.C. Marinescu, K.S. Yi, and P. Sitko for useful discussions. A.W. acknowledges support from the KBN Grant No. PB674/P03/96/10.

References

- [1] J. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett., 63 (1989) 199 (1989).
- [2] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett., 51 (1983) 605.
- [3] F.D.M. Haldane, E.H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B, 31 (1985) 2529.
- [4] S. He, X. Xie, F. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett., 68 (1992) 3460.
- [5] G. Fano, F. Ortolani, E. Colombo, Phys. Rev. B, 34 (1986) 2670.
- [6] X.M. Chen, J.J. Quinn, Solid State Commun., 92 (1996) 865.
- [7] R. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett., 50 (1983) 1395.
- [8] P. Sitko, S.N. Yi, K.-S. Yi, J.J. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett., 76 (1996) 3396.
- [9] T.T. Wu, C.N. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B, 107 (1976) 365.
- [10] T.T. Wu, C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. D, 16 (1977) 1018.
- [11] R.D. Cowan, The Theory of Atomic Structure and Spectra, University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles and London, 1981; see also A. de Shalit, I. Talmi, Nuclear Shell Theory, Academic Press, New York and London, 1963, for use of these techniques in nuclear physics.
- [12] G. Fano, F. Ortolani, E. Colombo, Phys. Rev. B, 34 (1986) 2670.
- [13] A. Wójs, J.J. Quinn, Solid State Commun., 108 (1998) 493.
- [14] P. Sitko, K.-S. Yi, J.J. Quinn, Phys. Rev. B, 56 (1997) 12417.